

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/067,753 | 02/08/2002 | Aaron Bratslavsky | 01873.000049. | 9310 | |
| 5514 75 | 590 05/05/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO | | | HO, AL | HO, ALLEN C | |
| •••• | 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| TEW TOTAL, | | | 2882 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/067,753 | BRATSLAVSKY ET AL. | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Allen C. Ho | 2882 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applice i) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by | • | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or simplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE: | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected claims. | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | tion(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See | | sidered but does NOT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | —· 61 | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | |
| | | VARD J. GLICK RY PATENT EXAMINER | | | |
| | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/067,753

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the invention possesses several characteristics not taught by Brooks. With regard to when the holder is bonded and who bonds the holder, these arguments fail to overcome the teachings of Brooks. As for how the holder is bonded, the examiner argues that it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a removable adhesive since a person would be motivated to remove the holder from an electronic image sensor after use as the electronic image sensor is not a one-use device.